Pressure-dependent Formation of Bicyclo[3,2,0]hepta-1,4,6-triene, Fulvenallene, and Ethynylcyclopentadiene in the Pyrolysis of 1,2-Diethynylcyclopropane

By MICHAEL B. D'AMORE and ROBERT G. BERGMAN*†

(Gates and Crellin Laboratories of Chemistry, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91109)

and MILDRED KENT and E. HEDAYA

(Union Carbide Research Institute, Tarrytown, New York)

Summary Thermolysis of trans-1,2-diethynylcyclopropane at pressures near 100 Torr produces only bicyclo[3,2,0]hepta-1,4,6-triene; as the pressure is lowered, however, fulvenallene and ethynylcyclopentadiene became major components of the primary product distribution, indicating that the bicycloheptatriene is generated in a vibrationally excited form which, in time, can rearrange to the additional products observed at low pressure.

WE report a study of the thermolysis of *trans*-1,2-diethynylcyclopropane (1) and its isomer, bicyclo[3,2,0]hepta-1,4,6triene (2). Our observations provide an interesting and rare example of product control by pressure variation of a unimolecular rearrangement process,¹ an unusual rearrangement of (2) to fulvenallene² (3), and two ethynylcyclopentadienes² (4), and additional information concerning the nature of the C₇H₆ energy surface.^{3,4}

The cyclopropane (1) was pyrolysed in (a) a low-pressure vacuum-transfer flow apparatus, (b) a 200 ml static reactor, or (c) the flash vacuum pyrolysis (f.v.p.) system described earlier.⁴ Formation of (2)—(5) was observed in relative amounts which depended markedly on the reaction conditions (Tables 1 and 2).

The data in Table 1 show a strong pressure effect on the product distribution where (3) and (4) increased at the expense of (2) as the pressure was lowered. In the flow



system, the effective pressure must be higher when a larger quantity of material is transferred through the

Reactor		P _i (Torr) ^g	T(°C)	Products (%)			
	Sample pyrolysed ^{d,f}			(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Flow ^a	$1 \mu l$ (1), 10 μl hexane	0.02	4 60	64.5	24.5	11.0	0c
Flow ^a	$1 \mu (1), 200 \mu hexane$	0.02	460	86.0	10.2	3.8	0
Flow ^a	$60 \mu l$ (1), 2 ml hexane	0.02	460	84.0	11.0	4.0	լհ
Flowb	$1 \mu l (1), 200 \mu l hexane$	0.02	460	88.7	8.3	3.0	0
Statice	pure (1)	0.10	247	70.5	19.9	9.6	0
Static	pure (1)	100	247	100			

TABLE 1. Vacuum flow and static pyrolyses of trans-1,2-diethynylcyclopropane under varying conditions

^a Pyrolysis carried out by vacuum transfer through a hot tube (hot zone volume *ca.* 20 ml, contact time *ca.* 0.5 s) from a room temperature trap to one held at 77 K. ^b Pyrolysis carried out under same conditions as (a), but the tube was packed with quartz tubes (surface to-volume increased by factor of 4.5). ^c Less than 0.1% detectable. ^d All flow pyrolyses run with > 90 % conversion of (1) into products. ^e 25% conversion of (1) into products. ^f All pyrolyses were carried out under conditions where products are thermally stable. ^g Initial system pressure before vacuum transfer of sample through hot tube. ^b Heptafulvalene (5) is reproducibly formed in low yield when (1) is pyrolysed at relatively high partial pressures.

TABLE 2 .	Flash vacuum	pyrolysis of	trans-1,2-diet	hvnvlcvclopropani	e and bicvclo[3.2	.0]hept-1.4.6-trien
		, , , ,				

Isomer	Oven	₽(torr) ^b		Products (%)°			
			T(°C)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
(1)	5 in, unpacked	0.07	500	90	≤0.1	7.5	2.5
(1)	5 in, unpacked	0.10	900			42	58
(1)	5 in, packed ^a	0.25	500	1.5	50	31	16.5
(2)	5 in, unpacked	0.07	600		100		_
(2)	5 in, unpacked	0.10	900			65	35

^a Oven packed with quartz fibres. ^b Average oven pressure; pressure at which reaction is taking place is considerably lower. ^c Product distribution determined by g.l.c. Efficiencies were $\geq 90\%$.

† Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Fellow, 1970-72; Camille and Henry Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar Grant Awardee, 1970-75.

pyrolysis tube. The absence of surface effects was demonstrated by showing that the product distribution remained unchanged when the flow system was packed with quartz tubes. These data imply the initial conversion of (1) into vibrationally excited (2) which can rearrange to (3) and (4), in competition with collisional deactivation, at sufficiently low pressures.⁶[±] We estimate⁵ that the reaction $(1) \rightarrow (2)$ is ≥ 38 kcal mol⁻¹ exothermic and since the activation energy for this reaction is $43.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$, the triene (2) must be formed at least 80 kcal mol⁻¹ above its ground vibrational state. This is certainly sufficient chemical activation⁶ to produce rearrangement of (2) into (3) and (4).

The f.v.p. system (cf. Table 2) is characterized by shorter contact times (about 100 gas-wall collisions) and lower effective pressures compared to the flow system (a). Here the pressure was increased by packing the pyrolysis tube with quartz fibre; this also decreased the flow rate and increased the number of gas-surface collisions. The consequence of increasing the effective pressure in this way led to a dramatic change in the relative amounts of (2); at

500 °C the yield of (2) could be varied from ≤ 0.1 to 50 % (Table 2). This is undoubtedly the same type of pressure effect observed in the experiments shown in Table 1, although further experiments will be required to reconcile other features of the data in Tables 1 and 2.

Furthermore, labelling experiments are being carried out to define the mechanism of conversion of (2) into (3) and (4), as well as the source of heptafulvene (5). At present, we believe that the latter may not be formed via cycloheptatrienylidene, 1a, b but rather through fragmentation of a dimer generated from a reactive bicycloheptatriene isomer⁷ of (2).

We are grateful for financial support at the California Institute of Technology from the National Science Foundation, the Research Corporation, the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society, and the National Defense Education Act (to M.B.D.).

(Received, August 20th, 1971; Com. 1470.)

[‡] For some other examples of molecules which undergo further reaction when produced in "chemically activated" (vibrationally excited) states in exothermic reactions, see ref. 5.

¹ (a) S. W. Benson, J. Chem. Phys., 1964, 40, 105; (b) F. J. Fletcher, B. S. Rabinovitch, K. W. Watkins, and D. J. Locker, J. Phys. Chem., 1966. 70, 2823; (c) P. J. Burckhardt, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Oregon, 1962

² P. Schissel, M. E. Kent, D. J. McAdoo, and E. Hedaya, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, 92, 2147; E. Hedaya and M. E. Kent, *ibid.*, 1970, 92, 2149; C. Wentrap and K. Wilczek, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1970, 53, 1459.

M. B. D'Amore and R. G. Bergman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 5694; R. C. Joines, A. B. Turner, and W. M. Jones, *ibid.*, 1969, 91, 7754; W. J. Baron, M. Jones, jun., and P. D. Gasper, *ibid.*, 1970, 92, 4739; J. A. Myers, R. C. Joines, and W. M. Jones, *ibid.*, 1970,

- 92, 4740; E. Hedaya and M. E. Kent, ibid., 1971, 93, 3283.
 - ⁴ E. Hedaya, Accounts. Chem. Res., 1969, 2, 367
 - ⁵ S. W. Benson, "Thermochemical Kinetics", Wiley, New York, 1968.
 ⁶ B. S. Rabinovitch and M. C. Flowers, *Quart. Rev.*, 1964, 18, 122.

⁷ R. Breslow, W. Washburn, and R. G. Bergman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 196; R. Breslow and W. Washburn, ibid., 1970, 92, 427.